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## Our Contributions

Practical Results:

- Set a DLP record in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}=\mathbb{F}_{\left(2^{8 \cdot 3}\right)^{3^{8}-1}}$, in 750 core-hours:
- Bitlength is $50 \%$ bigger than the previous record, set by Joux in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4080}}=\mathbb{F}_{\left(2^{8 \cdot 2}\right)^{2^{8}-1}}$, but required only $5 \%$ of the core-hours
Theoretical Results:
- Optimised Joux's $L_{Q}(1 / 4+o(1))$ algorithm to give an $L_{Q}\left(1 / 4,(\omega / 8)^{1 / 4}\right)$ algorithm for $Q \approx\left(q^{k}\right)^{q}, k \geq 2, q \rightarrow \infty$


## Overview

Big Field Hunting

Solving the DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}$

Complexity Considerations

## Polynomial Time Relation Generation [GGMZ13]
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Relation generation:

- Considering elements $x y+a y+b x+c$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$, one obtains the $\mathbb{F}_{\left(q^{k}\right)^{n}}$-equality

$$
x^{q+1}+a x^{q}+b x+c=y g_{1}(y)+a y+b g_{1}(y)+c
$$

- When both sides split over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ one obtains a relation
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$$
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## Theorem (Bluher 2004, Helleseth-Kholosha 2010)

The number of elements $B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}^{\times}$such that the polynomial $F_{B}(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}[X]$ splits completely over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ equals

$$
\frac{q^{k-1}-1}{q^{2}-1} \quad \text { if } k \text { is odd, }, \quad \frac{q^{k-1}-q}{q^{2}-1} \quad \text { if } k \text { is even } .
$$
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- For each such $(a, b, c)$, test if r.h.s. $y g_{1}(y)+a y+b g_{1}(y)+c$ splits; if so then have a relation
- If $q^{3 k-3}>q^{k}\left(d_{1}+1\right)$ ! then expect to compute logs of degree 1 elements in time

$$
\widetilde{O}\left(q^{2 k+1}\right)
$$
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However, for $\mathbb{F}_{\left(q^{k}\right)^{q \pm 1}}$ with $k \geq 4$ one can compute logs of degree two elements on the fly [GGMZ13].
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- Considering $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}$ gives a quadratic system in the $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$ components of $a$, solvable with a Gröbner basis computation
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## Field Setup and Target Element

- Let $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}=\mathbb{F}_{2}[T] /\left(\left(T^{8}+T^{4}+T^{3}+T+1\right) \mathbb{F}_{2}[T]\right)=\mathbb{F}_{2}(t)$
- Let $\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}[W] /\left(\left(W^{3}+t\right) \mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}[W]\right)=\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}(w)$
- Let $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}[X] /\left(\left(X^{255}+w+1\right) \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}[X]\right)=\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}(x)$
- Our generator is $g=x+w$, which has proven order $2^{6120}-1$
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- Let $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}=\mathbb{F}_{2}[T] /\left(\left(T^{8}+T^{4}+T^{3}+T+1\right) \mathbb{F}_{2}[T]\right)=\mathbb{F}_{2}(t)$
- Let $\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}[W] /\left(\left(W^{3}+t\right) \mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}[W]\right)=\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}(w)$
- Let $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}[X] /\left(\left(X^{255}+w+1\right) \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}[X]\right)=\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}(x)$
- Our generator is $g=x+w$, which has proven order $2^{6120}-1$

Our target element $\beta_{\pi}$ was derived as usual from the $2^{24}$-ary expansion of $\pi$.

## Degree 1 Logarithms

- Used the only Bluher polynomial for $k=3$, namely $X^{257}+X+1$ and our relation generation method
- Via automorphisms, reduced the \#variables to 21, 932 and obtained 22,932 relations in 15 seconds using $\mathrm{C}++/$ NTL on a 2.0 GHz AMD Opteron 6128
- For linear algebra, took as modulus the product of the largest 35 prime factors of $2^{6120}-1$, which has bitlength 5121
- Ran a parallelised C/GMP implementation of Lanczos' algorithm on four of the Intel (Westmere) Xeon E5650 hex-core processors of ICHEC's SGI Altix ICE 8200EX Stokes cluster, completed in 60.5 core-hours ( 2.5 hours wall time)
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## Degree 2 Logarithms

Since there is only one Bluher polynomial for $k=3$, elimination probability is $1 / 2$.

- When it fails, exploit the fact that $6 \mid 24$ and $(8-6) \mid 24$ and the 64 Bluher polynomials of the form $X^{65}+B X+B / \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}$
- Results in a probabilistic method to eliminate any given degree 2 element with probability $p=1-6.3 \times 10^{-15}$
- $\Longrightarrow$ probability that at least one degree 2 irreducible is not eliminable is $1-p^{2^{22}}=2.7 \times 10^{-8}$
- Implemented in MAGMA V2.16-12 on a 2.0 GHz AMD Opteron 6128: each took on average 0.03 seconds
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## Eliminating Degrees 3,4,5 and 6

We used an analogue of Joux's method [J13], but with the Bluher polynomial $X^{257}+X+1$ rather than $X^{256}+X$.

- Let $f(X), g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}[X]$ have degrees $\delta_{f}$ and $\delta_{g}$
- Substitute $\frac{f(X)}{g(X)}$ into Bluher polynomial, giving the numerator

$$
P(X):=f(X)^{257}+B f(X) g(X)^{256}+B g(X)^{257}
$$

- $P(X)$ is $\delta$-smooth with $\delta=\max \left\{\delta_{f}, \delta_{g}\right\}$
- Since $x^{256}=(w+1) x$ holds in $\mathbb{F}_{\left(2^{24}\right)^{255} \text {, the element } P(x)}$ can also be represented by a polynomial of degree $2 \delta$
- For $Q(x)$ of degree $2 \delta$ or $2 \delta-1$ set $P(x)=Q(x)$ or $(x+a) Q(x)$ and solve resulting quadratic system over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}$


## DLP Solution

## On $11 / 4 / 13$ we announced that $\beta_{\pi}=g^{\log }$, with $\log =$
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The quadratic systems we obtain using $X^{q+1}+B X+B$ are not bilinear $\Longrightarrow$ we can't argue for the same $L_{Q}(1 / 4+o(1))$ complexity that arises when using $X^{q}-X$.

However, when using $X^{q}-X$, with judiciously chosen parameters, the complexity can be improved.

- Consider $\mathbb{F}_{\left(q^{k}\right)^{n}}$ with $k \geq 2$ fixed, $n \approx q$ and $q \rightarrow \infty$
- Assume degree 1 logs are known and degree 2 logs are either known or are efficiently computable (on the fly)
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C_{0}:=L_{q^{k q}}\left(1 / 4, \frac{1}{4 \alpha_{0} k^{1 / 4}}\right)
$$

- Stage 1: Perform classical descent (with degree balancing) until elements are $\alpha_{1} q^{1 / 2}$-smooth. For $0<\mu<1$, this costs

$$
C_{1}:=L_{q^{k q}}\left(1 / 4, \frac{1}{\mu k^{1 / 4} \sqrt{8 \alpha_{1}}}\right)
$$

- Stage 2: Perform Joux's descent until elements are 2-smooth. This costs

$$
C_{2}:=L_{q^{k q}}\left(1 / 4, k^{1 / 4} \sqrt{\omega \alpha_{1}}\right)
$$
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## The Descent

- Balancing Stages 1 and 2 gives the optimal $\alpha_{1}$ as $1 /(\mu \sqrt{8 k \omega})$
- Choosing $\alpha_{0}>1 /(32 k \omega)^{1 / 4}$ means Stage 0 is ignorable
- In the limit as $\mu \rightarrow 1^{-}$, we obtain an overall complexity of

$$
L_{q^{k q}}\left(1 / 4,(\omega / 8)^{1 / 4}\right)
$$
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- Our relation generation method gives an analogous quasi-polynomial algorithm; in fact ours and Joux's method based on Möbius transforms of $X^{q}-X$ are equivalent

For BGJT algorithm, one setup issue is to find a set of coset representatives for $P G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right) / P G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ :

- $\left|P G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right) / P G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|=\left(q^{3 k}-q^{k}\right) /\left(q^{3}-q\right) \approx q^{3 k-3}$
- For $k \geq 3$ our search space has cardinality

$$
q^{k}\left(q^{k}-1\right)\left(q^{k}-\left\{q, q^{2}\right\}\right) /\left(q^{3}-q\right) \approx q^{3 k-3}
$$

- Cost of finding all Bluher polynomials is only $\widetilde{O}\left(q^{k}\right)$

