## Solving a 6120-bit DLP on a Desktop Computer

#### Faruk Göloğlu, Robert Granger, Gary McGuire, and Jens Zumbrägel

Claude Shannon Institute Complex & Adaptive Systems Laboratory School of Mathematical Sciences University College Dublin, Ireland

#### 15th August, SAC 2013







Big Field Hunting

Solving the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_{26120}$ 

Complexity Considerations

#### **Our Contributions**

Practical Results:

Solving the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_{26120}$ 

Complexity Considerations

#### **Our Contributions**

Practical Results:

- Set a DLP record in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}=\mathbb{F}_{(2^{8\cdot3})^{2^8-1}}$  , in 750 core-hours:

Solving the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_{26120}$ 

## **Our Contributions**

Practical Results:

- Set a DLP record in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}=\mathbb{F}_{(2^{8\cdot3})^{2^8-1}}$  , in 750 core-hours:
- Bitlength is 50% bigger than the previous record, set by Joux in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4080}} = \mathbb{F}_{(2^{8\cdot 2})^{2^8-1}}$ , but required only 5% of the core-hours

Solving the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}$ 

## **Our Contributions**

Practical Results:

- Set a DLP record in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}=\mathbb{F}_{(2^{8\cdot3})^{2^8-1}}$  , in 750 core-hours:
- Bitlength is 50% bigger than the previous record, set by Joux in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4080}} = \mathbb{F}_{(2^{8\cdot 2})^{2^8-1}}$ , but required only 5% of the core-hours

Theoretical Results:

Solving the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}$ 

## **Our Contributions**

Practical Results:

- Set a DLP record in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}=\mathbb{F}_{(2^{8\cdot3})^{2^8-1}}$  , in 750 core-hours:
- Bitlength is 50% bigger than the previous record, set by Joux in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4080}}=\mathbb{F}_{(2^{8\cdot2})^{2^8-1}}$ , but required only 5% of the core-hours

Theoretical Results:

• Optimised Joux's  $L_Q(1/4 + o(1))$  algorithm to give an  $L_Q(1/4, (\omega/8)^{1/4})$  algorithm for  $Q \approx (q^k)^q$ ,  $k \ge 2$ ,  $q \to \infty$ 

**Big Field Hunting** 

Solving the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_{26120}$ 

Complexity Considerations



#### **Big Field Hunting**

Solving the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}$ 

**Complexity Considerations** 

Setup for  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^k)^n}$  with  $k \geq 3$ ,  $n \leq qd_1$  and  $d_1 \geq 1$  (cf. [JL06]):

Setup for  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^k)^n}$  with  $k \geq 3$ ,  $n \leq qd_1$  and  $d_1 \geq 1$  (cf. [JL06]):

- Search for  $g_1(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}[X]$  s.t.  $X g_1(X^q) \equiv 0 \pmod{f(X)}$ with  $\deg(g_1) = d_1$ , f irreducible and  $\deg(f) = n$
- Let  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^k)^n} = \mathbb{F}_{q^k}(x)$  with x a root of f(X)
- Let  $y = x^q$ , so that one has  $x = g_1(y)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^k)^n}$

• Factor base is 
$$\{x - a \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}\}$$

Setup for  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^k)^n}$  with  $k \geq 3$ ,  $n \leq qd_1$  and  $d_1 \geq 1$  (cf. [JL06]):

- Search for  $g_1(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}[X]$  s.t.  $X g_1(X^q) \equiv 0 \pmod{f(X)}$ with  $\deg(g_1) = d_1$ , f irreducible and  $\deg(f) = n$
- Let  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^k)^n} = \mathbb{F}_{q^k}(x)$  with x a root of f(X)
- Let  $y = x^q$ , so that one has  $x = g_1(y)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^k)^n}$

• Factor base is 
$$\{x - a \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}\}$$

Relation generation:

Setup for  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^k)^n}$  with  $k \geq 3$ ,  $n \leq qd_1$  and  $d_1 \geq 1$  (cf. [JL06]):

- Search for  $g_1(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}[X]$  s.t.  $X g_1(X^q) \equiv 0 \pmod{f(X)}$ with  $\deg(g_1) = d_1$ , f irreducible and  $\deg(f) = n$
- Let  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^k)^n} = \mathbb{F}_{q^k}(x)$  with x a root of f(X)
- Let  $y = x^q$ , so that one has  $x = g_1(y)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^k)^n}$
- Factor base is  $\{x a \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}\}$

Relation generation:

• Considering elements xy + ay + bx + c with  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ , one obtains the  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^k)^n}$ -equality

$$x^{q+1} + ax^{q} + bx + c = yg_1(y) + ay + bg_1(y) + c$$

• When both sides split over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  one obtains a relation

Complexity Considerations

#### **Bluher Polynomials**

Consider the l.h.s. polynomial  $x^{q+1} + ax^q + bx + c$ .

#### **Bluher Polynomials**

Consider the l.h.s. polynomial  $x^{q+1} + ax^q + bx + c$ .

If  $ab \neq c$  and  $a^q \neq b$ , this may be transformed into

$$F_B(\overline{x}) = \overline{x}^{q+1} + B\overline{x} + B$$
, with  $B = \frac{(b-a^q)^{q+1}}{(c-ab)^q}$ ,

via 
$$x = \frac{c-ab}{b-a^q}\overline{x} - a$$
.

## **Bluher Polynomials**

Consider the l.h.s. polynomial  $x^{q+1} + ax^q + bx + c$ .

If  $ab \neq c$  and  $a^q \neq b$ , this may be transformed into

$$F_B(\overline{x}) = \overline{x}^{q+1} + B\overline{x} + B$$
, with  $B = \frac{(b-a^q)^{q+1}}{(c-ab)^q}$ ,

via  $x = \frac{c-ab}{b-a^q}\overline{x} - a$ .

#### Theorem (Bluher 2004, Helleseth-Kholosha 2010)

The number of elements  $B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^{\times}$  such that the polynomial  $F_B(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}[X]$  splits completely over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  equals

• Let 
$$S_B = \{B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^{\times} \mid X^{q+1} + BX + B \text{ splits over } \mathbb{F}_{q^k}\}$$

- Let  $S_B = \{B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^{\times} \mid X^{q+1} + BX + B \text{ splits over } \mathbb{F}_{q^k}\}$
- Since  $B = (b a^q)^{q+1}/(c ab)^q$ , for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  s.t.  $b \neq a^q$ , and  $B \in S_B$ , there exists a unique  $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  s.t.  $x^{q+1} + ax^q + bx + c$  splits over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$

- Let  $S_B = \{B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^{\times} \mid X^{q+1} + BX + B \text{ splits over } \mathbb{F}_{q^k}\}$
- Since  $B = (b a^q)^{q+1}/(c ab)^q$ , for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  s.t.  $b \neq a^q$ , and  $B \in S_B$ , there exists a unique  $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  s.t.  $x^{q+1} + ax^q + bx + c$  splits over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$
- For each such (a, b, c), test if r.h.s.  $yg_1(y) + ay + bg_1(y) + c$  splits; if so then have a relation

- Let  $S_B = \{B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^{\times} \mid X^{q+1} + BX + B \text{ splits over } \mathbb{F}_{q^k}\}$
- Since  $B = (b a^q)^{q+1}/(c ab)^q$ , for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  s.t.  $b \neq a^q$ , and  $B \in S_B$ , there exists a unique  $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  s.t.  $x^{q+1} + ax^q + bx + c$  splits over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$
- For each such (a, b, c), test if r.h.s.  $yg_1(y) + ay + bg_1(y) + c$  splits; if so then have a relation
- If  $q^{3k-3} > q^k(d_1+1)!$  then expect to compute logs of degree 1 elements in time  $\widetilde{O}(a^{2k+1})$

The solution of DLPs in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{47}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{57}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1778}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1971}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3164}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4080}}$  all used Kummer extensions.

The solution of DLPs in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{47}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{57}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1778}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1971}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3164}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4080}}$  all used Kummer extensions.

*Why*? Factor base-preserving automorphisms reduce effective size of factor base  $\implies$  relation finding & linear algebra become faster.

The solution of DLPs in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{47}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{57}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1778}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1971}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3164}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4080}}$  all used Kummer extensions.

*Why*? Factor base-preserving automorphisms reduce effective size of factor base  $\implies$  relation finding & linear algebra become faster.

Observe that  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1778}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4080}}$  are of the form  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^2)^{q-1}}$ , for which:

The solution of DLPs in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{47}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{57}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1778}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1971}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3164}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4080}}$  all used Kummer extensions.

*Why*? Factor base-preserving automorphisms reduce effective size of factor base  $\implies$  relation finding & linear algebra become faster.

Observe that  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1778}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4080}}$  are of the form  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^2)^{q-1}}$ , for which:

- Degree 1 logs cost  $\widetilde{O}(q^3)$  for K.E., or  $\widetilde{O}(q^5)$  otherwise
- Degree 2 logs cost  $\widetilde{O}(q^6)$  for K.E., or  $\widetilde{O}(q^7)$  otherwise

The solution of DLPs in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{47}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{57}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1778}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1971}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3164}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4080}}$  all used Kummer extensions.

*Why*? Factor base-preserving automorphisms reduce effective size of factor base  $\implies$  relation finding & linear algebra become faster.

Observe that  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1778}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4080}}$  are of the form  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^2)^{q-1}}$ , for which:

- Degree 1 logs cost  $\widetilde{O}(q^3)$  for K.E., or  $\widetilde{O}(q^5)$  otherwise
- Degree 2 logs cost  $\widetilde{O}(q^6)$  for K.E., or  $\widetilde{O}(q^7)$  otherwise

However, for  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^k)^{q\pm 1}}$  with  $k \ge 4$  one can compute logs of degree two elements *on the fly* [GGMZ13].

## New Degree 2 elimination for K.E.'s and $k \ge 3$

Let  $q(x) := x^2 + q_1 x + q_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{(q^k)^{q-1}}$  be an element to be written as a product of linear elements.

• When possible, compute  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  s.t. in  $\mathbb{F}^{\times}_{(a^k)^{q-1}}/\mathbb{F}^{\times}_{a^k}$ ,

$$q(x) = x^{2} + q_{1}x + q_{0} = x^{q+1} + ax^{q} + bx + c$$

where r.h.s splits over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^{\times}$ 

• When possible, compute  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  s.t. in  $\mathbb{F}^{\times}_{(q^k)^{q-1}}/\mathbb{F}^{\times}_{q^k}$ ,

$$q(x) = x^{2} + q_{1}x + q_{0} = x^{q+1} + ax^{q} + bx + c$$

where r.h.s splits over  $\mathbb{F}_{a^k}^{\times}$ 

• As  $x^{q-1} = \gamma$ , we have r.h.s.  $= \gamma (x^2 + (a + \frac{b}{\gamma})x + \frac{c}{\gamma})$ :  $\implies \gamma q_0 = c, \gamma q_1 = \gamma a + b$ 

• When possible, compute  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  s.t. in  $\mathbb{F}^{\times}_{(q^k)^{q-1}}/\mathbb{F}^{\times}_{q^k}$ ,

$$q(x) = x^{2} + q_{1}x + q_{0} = x^{q+1} + ax^{q} + bx + c$$

where r.h.s splits over  $\mathbb{F}_{a^k}^{\times}$ 

- As  $x^{q-1} = \gamma$ , we have r.h.s.  $= \gamma (x^2 + (a + \frac{b}{\gamma})x + \frac{c}{\gamma})$ :  $\implies \gamma q_0 = c, \gamma q_1 = \gamma a + b$
- For any  $B \in S_B$ , using  $(a^q + b)^{q+1} = B(ab + c)^q$  we arrive at the condition

$$(a^{q} + \gamma a + \gamma q_{1})^{q+1} + B(\gamma a^{2} + \gamma q_{1}a + \gamma q_{0})^{q} = 0$$

• When possible, compute  $a,b,c\in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  s.t. in  $\mathbb{F}^{ imes}_{(q^k)^{q-1}}/\mathbb{F}^{ imes}_{q^k}$ ,

$$q(x) = x^{2} + q_{1}x + q_{0} = x^{q+1} + ax^{q} + bx + c$$

where r.h.s splits over  $\mathbb{F}_{a^k}^{\times}$ 

- As  $x^{q-1} = \gamma$ , we have r.h.s.  $= \gamma (x^2 + (a + \frac{b}{\gamma})x + \frac{c}{\gamma})$ :  $\implies \gamma q_0 = c, \gamma q_1 = \gamma a + b$
- For any  $B \in S_B$ , using  $(a^q + b)^{q+1} = B(ab + c)^q$  we arrive at the condition

$$(a^{q} + \gamma a + \gamma q_{1})^{q+1} + B(\gamma a^{2} + \gamma q_{1}a + \gamma q_{0})^{q} = 0$$

Considering 𝔽<sub>q<sup>k</sup></sub> /𝔽<sub>q</sub> gives a quadratic system in the 𝔽<sub>q</sub>components of *a*, solvable with a Gröbner basis computation

$I\setminus k$	2	3	4	5	6
6	756	1134	1512	1890	2268
7	1778	2667	3556	4445	5334
8	4080	6120	8160	10200	12240
9	9198	13797	18396	22995	27594

For  $q = 2^{l}$  and n = q - 1,  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^{k})^{n}}$  has bitlength:

$I\setminus k$	2	3	4	5	6
6	756	1134	1512	1890	2268
7	1778	2667	3556	4445	5334
8	4080	6120	8160	10200	12240
9	9198	13797	18396	22995	27594

• Degree 1: #variables  $\approx q^{k-1}$  so for  $k \geq 2$ , cost is  $\widetilde{O}(q^{2k-1})$ 

$I\setminus k$	2	3	4	5	6
6	756	1134	1512	1890	2268
7	1778	2667	3556	4445	5334
8	4080	6120	8160	10200	12240
9	9198	13797	18396	22995	27594

- Degree 1: #variables  $\approx q^{k-1}$  so for  $k \geq 2$ , cost is  $\widetilde{O}(q^{2k-1})$
- Degree 2: For k = 2,3 cost is  $\widetilde{O}(q^{2k+2})$ , and free for  $k \ge 4$

$I\setminus k$	2	3	4	5	6
6	756	1134	1512	1890	2268
7	1778	2667	3556	4445	5334
8	4080	6120	8160	10200	12240
9	9198	13797	18396	22995	27594

- Degree 1: #variables  $\approx q^{k-1}$  so for  $k \geq 2$ , cost is  $\widetilde{O}(q^{2k-1})$
- Degree 2: For k = 2, 3 cost is  $\widetilde{O}(q^{2k+2})$ , and free for  $k \ge 4$

$I\setminus k$	2	3	4	5	6
6	756	1134	1512	1890	2268
7	1778	2667	3556	4445	5334
8	4080	6120	8160	10200	12240
9	9198	13797	18396	22995	27594

- Degree 1: #variables  $\approx q^{k-1}$  so for  $k \geq 2$ , cost is  $\widetilde{O}(q^{2k-1})$
- Degree 2: For k = 2, 3 cost is  $\widetilde{O}(q^{2k+2})$ , and free for  $k \ge 4$

#### Field Setup and Target Element

- Let  $\mathbb{F}_{2^8} = \mathbb{F}_2[T]/((T^8 + T^4 + T^3 + T + 1)\mathbb{F}_2[T]) = \mathbb{F}_2(t)$
- Let  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}} = \mathbb{F}_{2^8}[W]/((W^3 + t)\mathbb{F}_{2^8}[W]) = \mathbb{F}_{2^8}(w)$
- Let  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}} = \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}[X]/((X^{255} + w + 1)\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}[X]) = \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}(x)$
- Our generator is g = x + w, which has proven order  $2^{6120} 1$

## Field Setup and Target Element

- Let  $\mathbb{F}_{2^8} = \mathbb{F}_2[T]/((T^8 + T^4 + T^3 + T + 1)\mathbb{F}_2[T]) = \mathbb{F}_2(t)$
- Let  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}} = \mathbb{F}_{2^8}[W]/((W^3 + t)\mathbb{F}_{2^8}[W]) = \mathbb{F}_{2^8}(w)$
- Let  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}} = \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}[X]/((X^{255} + w + 1)\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}[X]) = \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}(x)$
- Our generator is g = x + w, which has proven order  $2^{6120} 1$

Our target element  $\beta_\pi$  was derived as usual from the  $2^{24}\text{-}\mathrm{ary}$  expansion of  $\pi$  .

## Degree 1 Logarithms

- Used the only Bluher polynomial for k = 3, namely  $X^{257} + X + 1$  and our relation generation method
- Via automorphisms, reduced the #variables to 21,932 and obtained 22,932 relations *in* 15 *seconds* using C++/NTL on a 2.0GHz AMD Opteron 6128
- For linear algebra, took as modulus the product of the largest 35 prime factors of  $2^{6120} 1$ , which has bitlength 5121
- Ran a parallelised C/GMP implementation of Lanczos' algorithm on four of the Intel (Westmere) Xeon E5650 hex-core processors of ICHEC's SGI Altix ICE 8200EX Stokes cluster, completed *in 60.5 core-hours* (2.5 hours wall time)

## Degree 2 Logarithms

Since there is only one Bluher polynomial for k = 3, elimination probability is 1/2.

## Degree 2 Logarithms

Since there is only one Bluher polynomial for k = 3, elimination probability is 1/2.

• When it fails, exploit the fact that  $6 \mid 24$  and  $(8-6) \mid 24$  and the 64 Bluher polynomials of the form  $X^{65} + BX + B / \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}$ 

## Degree 2 Logarithms

Since there is only one Bluher polynomial for k = 3, elimination probability is 1/2.

- When it fails, exploit the fact that  $6 \mid 24$  and  $(8-6) \mid 24$  and the 64 Bluher polynomials of the form  $X^{65} + BX + B / \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}$
- Results in a probabilistic method to eliminate any given degree 2 element with probability  $p = 1 6.3 \times 10^{-15}$
- $\implies$  probability that at least one degree 2 irreducible is not eliminable is  $1 p^{2^{22}} = 2.7 \times 10^{-8}$
- Implemented in MAGMA V2.16-12 on a 2.0 GHz AMD Opteron 6128: *each took on average 0.03 seconds*

## Eliminating Degrees 3,4,5 and 6

We used an analogue of Joux's method [J13], but with the Bluher polynomial  $X^{257} + X + 1$  rather than  $X^{256} + X$ .

## Eliminating Degrees 3,4,5 and 6

We used an analogue of Joux's method [J13], but with the Bluher polynomial  $X^{257} + X + 1$  rather than  $X^{256} + X$ .

- Let  $f(X), g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}[X]$  have degrees  $\delta_f$  and  $\delta_g$
- Substitute  $\frac{f(X)}{g(X)}$  into Bluher polynomial, giving the numerator

$$P(X) := f(X)^{257} + Bf(X)g(X)^{256} + Bg(X)^{257}$$

- P(X) is  $\delta$ -smooth with  $\delta = \max\{\delta_f, \delta_g\}$
- Since  $x^{256} = (w + 1)x$  holds in  $\mathbb{F}_{(2^{24})^{255}}$ , the element P(x) can also be represented by a polynomial of degree  $2\delta$
- For Q(x) of degree  $2\delta$  or  $2\delta 1$  set P(x) = Q(x) or (x + a)Q(x) and solve resulting quadratic system over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^8}$

## **DLP** Solution

#### On 11/4/13 we announced that $\,eta_\pi=g^{\log}$ , with $\,\log=$

Solving the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_{26120}$ 

## **Complexity Considerations**

The quadratic systems we obtain using  $X^{q+1} + BX + B$  are not bilinear  $\implies$  we can't argue for the same  $L_Q(1/4 + o(1))$  complexity that arises when using  $X^q - X$ .

Solving the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_{26120}$ 

# **Complexity Considerations**

The quadratic systems we obtain using  $X^{q+1} + BX + B$  are not bilinear  $\implies$  we can't argue for the same  $L_Q(1/4 + o(1))$  complexity that arises when using  $X^q - X$ .

However, when using  $X^q - X$ , with judiciously chosen parameters, the complexity can be improved.

Solving the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_{26120}$ 

# **Complexity Considerations**

The quadratic systems we obtain using  $X^{q+1} + BX + B$  are not bilinear  $\implies$  we can't argue for the same  $L_Q(1/4 + o(1))$  complexity that arises when using  $X^q - X$ .

However, when using  $X^q - X$ , with judiciously chosen parameters, the complexity can be improved.

- Consider  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^k)^n}$  with  $k\geq 2$  fixed, npprox q and  $q
  ightarrow\infty$
- Assume degree 1 logs are known and degree 2 logs are either known or are efficiently computable (on the fly)

#### Want to compute $\log_g h$ . The descent consists of 3 parts:

Want to compute  $\log_g h$ . The descent consists of 3 parts:

• Stage 0: Choose random *i* until  $hg^i$  is  $\alpha_0 q^{3/4}$ -smooth. This costs

$$C_0 := L_{q^{kq}}\left(1/4, rac{1}{4lpha_0 k^{1/4}}
ight)$$

Want to compute  $\log_g h$ . The descent consists of 3 parts:

• Stage 0: Choose random *i* until  $hg^i$  is  $\alpha_0 q^{3/4}$ -smooth. This costs

$$C_0 := L_{q^{kq}}\left(1/4, \frac{1}{4\alpha_0 k^{1/4}}\right)$$

• Stage 1: Perform classical descent (with degree balancing) until elements are  $\alpha_1 q^{1/2}$ -smooth. For  $0 < \mu < 1$ , this costs

$$C_1 := L_{q^{kq}}\left(1/4, \frac{1}{\mu k^{1/4}\sqrt{8\alpha_1}}\right)$$

Want to compute  $\log_g h$ . The descent consists of 3 parts:

• Stage 0: Choose random *i* until  $hg^i$  is  $\alpha_0 q^{3/4}$ -smooth. This costs

$$C_0 := L_{q^{kq}}\left(1/4, \frac{1}{4\alpha_0 k^{1/4}}\right)$$

• Stage 1: Perform classical descent (with degree balancing) until elements are  $\alpha_1 q^{1/2}$ -smooth. For  $0 < \mu < 1$ , this costs

$$C_1 := L_{q^{kq}}\left(1/4, \frac{1}{\mu k^{1/4}\sqrt{8\alpha_1}}\right)$$

• Stage 2: Perform Joux's descent until elements are 2-smooth. This costs

$$C_2 := L_{q^{kq}} \left( 1/4, k^{1/4} \sqrt{\omega \alpha_1} \right)$$

#### • Balancing Stages 1 and 2 gives the optimal $\alpha_1$ as $1/(\mu\sqrt{8k\omega})$

- Balancing Stages 1 and 2 gives the optimal  $\alpha_1$  as  $1/(\mu\sqrt{8k\omega})$
- Choosing  $\alpha_0 > 1/(32k\omega)^{1/4}$  means Stage 0 is ignorable

- Balancing Stages 1 and 2 gives the optimal  $\alpha_1$  as  $1/(\mu\sqrt{8k\omega})$
- Choosing  $\alpha_0 > 1/(32k\omega)^{1/4}$  means Stage 0 is ignorable
- In the limit as  $\mu 
  ightarrow 1^-$  , we obtain an overall complexity of

$$L_{q^{kq}}(1/4,(\omega/8)^{1/4})$$

 Barbulescu, Gaudry, Joux and Thomé have proposed a quasi-polynomial algorithm for the DLP in finite fields of small characteristic (eprint.iacr.org/2013/400)

- Barbulescu, Gaudry, Joux and Thomé have proposed a quasi-polynomial algorithm for the DLP in finite fields of small characteristic (eprint.iacr.org/2013/400)
- Our relation generation method gives an analogous quasi-polynomial algorithm; in fact ours and Joux's method based on Möbius transforms of  $X^q X$  are equivalent

- Barbulescu, Gaudry, Joux and Thomé have proposed a quasi-polynomial algorithm for the DLP in finite fields of small characteristic (eprint.iacr.org/2013/400)
- Our relation generation method gives an analogous quasi-polynomial algorithm; in fact ours and Joux's method based on Möbius transforms of  $X^q X$  are equivalent

For BGJT algorithm, one setup issue is to find a set of coset representatives for  $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ :

- Barbulescu, Gaudry, Joux and Thomé have proposed a quasi-polynomial algorithm for the DLP in finite fields of small characteristic (eprint.iacr.org/2013/400)
- Our relation generation method gives an analogous quasi-polynomial algorithm; in fact ours and Joux's method based on Möbius transforms of  $X^q X$  are equivalent

For BGJT algorithm, one setup issue is to find a set of coset representatives for  $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ :

•  $|PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)| = (q^{3k} - q^k)/(q^3 - q) \approx q^{3k-3}$ 

- Barbulescu, Gaudry, Joux and Thomé have proposed a quasi-polynomial algorithm for the DLP in finite fields of small characteristic (eprint.iacr.org/2013/400)
- Our relation generation method gives an analogous quasi-polynomial algorithm; in fact ours and Joux's method based on Möbius transforms of  $X^q X$  are equivalent

For BGJT algorithm, one setup issue is to find a set of coset representatives for  $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ :

- $|PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)| = (q^{3k} q^k)/(q^3 q) \approx q^{3k-3}$
- For  $k \ge 3$  our search space has cardinality

$$q^k(q^k-1)(q^k-\{q,q^2\})/(q^3-q)pprox q^{3k-3}$$

- Barbulescu, Gaudry, Joux and Thomé have proposed a quasi-polynomial algorithm for the DLP in finite fields of small characteristic (eprint.iacr.org/2013/400)
- Our relation generation method gives an analogous quasi-polynomial algorithm; in fact ours and Joux's method based on Möbius transforms of  $X^q X$  are equivalent

For BGJT algorithm, one setup issue is to find a set of coset representatives for  $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ :

- $|PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)| = (q^{3k} q^k)/(q^3 q) \approx q^{3k-3}$
- For  $k \ge 3$  our search space has cardinality

$$q^k(q^k-1)(q^k-\{q,q^2\})/(q^3-q)pprox q^{3k-3}$$

• Cost of finding all Bluher polynomials is only  $\widetilde{O}(q^k)$