

On the Function Field Sieve and the Impact of Higher Splitting Probabilities

Application to Discrete Logarithms in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1971}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3164}}$

Faruk Göloğlu, **Robert Granger**, Gary McGuire, and Jens Zumbrägel

Claude Shannon Institute
Complex & Adaptive Systems Laboratory
School of Mathematical Sciences
University College Dublin, Ireland

21st August, CRYPTO 2013



The Index Calculus Method

Consider the DLP in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} . The ICM consists of two stages:

The Index Calculus Method

Consider the DLP in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} . The ICM consists of two stages:

1. Choose a factor base \mathcal{F} , find relations between elements and then compute their logarithms.

The Index Calculus Method

Consider the DLP in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} . The ICM consists of two stages:

1. Choose a factor base \mathcal{F} , find relations between elements and then compute their logarithms.
2. For an arbitrary element, express it as a product of lower degree elements; recurse until all leaves are in \mathcal{F} .

Our Contributions

- The first *polynomial time* relation generation method for degree one elements

Our Contributions

- The first *polynomial time* relation generation method for degree one elements
- The first *polynomial time* elimination method for degree two elements

Our Contributions

- The first *polynomial time* relation generation method for degree one elements
- The first *polynomial time* elimination method for degree two elements
- An $L_{q^n}(1/3, (4/9)^{1/3} \approx 0.763)$ algorithm for solving the DLP for suitably balanced q, n

Our Contributions

- The first *polynomial time* relation generation method for degree one elements
- The first *polynomial time* elimination method for degree two elements
- An $L_{q^n}(1/3, (4/9)^{1/3} \approx 0.763)$ algorithm for solving the DLP for suitably balanced q, n
- Practical results: solved example DLPs in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1971}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3164}}$

The Joux-Lercier FFS variation [JL06]

To find factor base relations in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} one uses the following setup.

The Joux-Lercier FFS variation [JL06]

To find factor base relations in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} one uses the following setup.

- Choose $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degrees d_1, d_2 such that $X - g_1(g_2(X))$ has a degree n irreducible factor $f(X)$ over \mathbb{F}_q , then $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} = \mathbb{F}_q(x) \cong \mathbb{F}_q[X]/(f(X)\mathbb{F}_q[X])$
- Let $y = g_2(x)$; then $x = g_1(y)$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \cong \mathbb{F}_q(x) \cong \mathbb{F}_q(y)$
- In best case factor base is $\{x - a \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{y - b \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$

The Joux-Lercier FFS variation [JL06]

To find factor base relations in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} one uses the following setup.

- Choose $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degrees d_1, d_2 such that $X - g_1(g_2(X))$ has a degree n irreducible factor $f(X)$ over \mathbb{F}_q , then $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} = \mathbb{F}_q(x) \cong \mathbb{F}_q[X]/(f(X)\mathbb{F}_q[X])$
- Let $y = g_2(x)$; then $x = g_1(y)$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \cong \mathbb{F}_q(x) \cong \mathbb{F}_q(y)$
- In best case factor base is $\{x - a \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{y - b \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$

Relation generation:

The Joux-Lercier FFS variation [JL06]

To find factor base relations in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} one uses the following setup.

- Choose $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degrees d_1, d_2 such that $X - g_1(g_2(X))$ has a degree n irreducible factor $f(X)$ over \mathbb{F}_q , then $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} = \mathbb{F}_q(x) \cong \mathbb{F}_q[X]/(f(X)\mathbb{F}_q[X])$
- Let $y = g_2(x)$; then $x = g_1(y)$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \cong \mathbb{F}_q(x) \cong \mathbb{F}_q(y)$
- In best case factor base is $\{x - a \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{y - b \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$

Relation generation:

- Considering elements $xy + ay + bx + c$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$, one obtains the \mathbb{F}_{q^n} -equality

$$xg_2(x) + ag_2(x) + bx + c = yg_1(y) + ay + bg_1(y) + c$$

- When both sides split over \mathbb{F}_q one obtains a relation

'Optimising' d_1 and d_2 in [JL06]

'Optimising' d_1 and d_2 in [JL06]

Fundamental Theorem of Cryptography

"If we have no clue about something, then we can safely assume that it behaves as a uniformly distributed random variable."

– Igor Shparlinski

'Optimising' d_1 and d_2 in [JL06]

Fundamental Theorem of Cryptography

"If we have no clue about something, then we can safely assume that it behaves as a uniformly distributed random variable."

– Igor Shparlinski

F.T.C. \implies that as $q \rightarrow \infty$ each side of $xy + ay + bx + c$ splits over \mathbb{F}_q with probability $1/(d_2 + 1)!$ and $1/(d_1 + 1)!$ respectively.

'Optimising' d_1 and d_2 in [JL06]

Fundamental Theorem of Cryptography

"If we have no clue about something, then we can safely assume that it behaves as a uniformly distributed random variable."

– Igor Shparlinski

F.T.C. \implies that as $q \rightarrow \infty$ each side of $xy + ay + bx + c$ splits over \mathbb{F}_q with probability $1/(d_2 + 1)!$ and $1/(d_1 + 1)!$ respectively.

- \implies Choose $d_1 \approx d_2 \approx \sqrt{n}$

'Optimising' d_1 and d_2 in [JL06]

Fundamental Theorem of Cryptography

"If we have no clue about something, then we can safely assume that it behaves as a uniformly distributed random variable."

– Igor Shparlinski

F.T.C. \implies that as $q \rightarrow \infty$ each side of $xy + ay + bx + c$ splits over \mathbb{F}_q with probability $1/(d_2 + 1)!$ and $1/(d_1 + 1)!$ respectively.

- \implies Choose $d_1 \approx d_2 \approx \sqrt{n}$
- For $q = L_{q^n}(1/3, 3^{-2/3})$ algorithm is $L_{q^n}(1/3, 3^{1/3})$

'Optimising' d_1 and d_2 in [JL06]

Fundamental Theorem of Cryptography

"If we have no clue about something, then we can safely assume that it behaves as a uniformly distributed random variable."

– Igor Shparlinski

F.T.C. \implies that as $q \rightarrow \infty$ each side of $xy + ay + bx + c$ splits over \mathbb{F}_q with probability $1/(d_2 + 1)!$ and $1/(d_1 + 1)!$ respectively.

- \implies Choose $d_1 \approx d_2 \approx \sqrt{n}$
- For $q = L_{q^n}(1/3, 3^{-2/3})$ algorithm is $L_{q^n}(1/3, 3^{1/3})$

A Counterpoint to the F.T.C.

Fortunately, in one sub-case of the [JL06] setup, we have a clue.

An Auspicious Choice for g_2

For simplicity, let $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{2^l}$.

An Auspicious Choice for g_2

For simplicity, let $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{2^l}$.

- Let $y = g_2(x) = x^{2^k}$ with $1 < k < l$

An Auspicious Choice for g_2

For simplicity, let $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{2^l}$.

- Let $y = g_2(x) = x^{2^k}$ with $1 < k < l$
- Eliminates half of the factor base since

$$(y + b) = (x + b^{2^{-k}})^{2^k} \implies \log(y + b) = 2^k \log(x + b^{2^{-k}})$$

An Auspicious Choice for g_2

For simplicity, let $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{2^l}$.

- Let $y = g_2(x) = x^{2^k}$ with $1 < k < l$
- Eliminates half of the factor base since

$$(y + b) = (x + b^{2^{-k}})^{2^k} \implies \log(y + b) = 2^k \log(x + b^{2^{-k}})$$

- The l.h.s. of $xy + ay + bx + c$ becomes

$$x^{2^k+1} + ax^{2^k} + bx + c$$

An Auspicious Choice for g_2

For simplicity, let $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{2^l}$.

- Let $y = g_2(x) = x^{2^k}$ with $1 < k < l$
- Eliminates half of the factor base since

$$(y + b) = (x + b^{2^{-k}})^{2^k} \implies \log(y + b) = 2^k \log(x + b^{2^{-k}})$$

- The l.h.s. of $xy + ay + bx + c$ becomes

$$x^{2^k+1} + ax^{2^k} + bx + c$$

- For $k \mid l$ and $l/k \geq 2$, this polynomial *provably* splits over \mathbb{F}_q with probability $\approx 1/2^{3k} \gg 1/(2^k + 1)!$

Blüher Polynomials

Let $q = 2^\ell$, $\ell = kk'$ with $k' \geq 3$. If $ab \neq c$ and $b \neq a^{2^k}$, then $x^{2^{k+1}} + ax^{2^k} + bx + c$ may be transformed into

$$F_B(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}^{2^{k+1}} + B\bar{x} + B, \quad \text{with} \quad B = \frac{(a^{2^k} + b)^{2^{k+1}}}{(ab + c)^{2^k}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$x = \left(\frac{ab + c}{a^{2^k} + b} \right) \bar{x} + a.$$

Bluher Polynomials

Let $q = 2^\ell$, $\ell = kk'$ with $k' \geq 3$. If $ab \neq c$ and $b \neq a^{2^k}$, then $x^{2^{k+1}} + ax^{2^k} + bx + c$ may be transformed into

$$F_B(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}^{2^{k+1}} + B\bar{x} + B, \quad \text{with} \quad B = \frac{(a^{2^k} + b)^{2^{k+1}}}{(ab + c)^{2^k}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$x = \left(\frac{ab + c}{a^{2^k} + b} \right) \bar{x} + a.$$

Theorem (*Bluher 2004*)

The number of elements $B \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ such that the polynomial $F_B(X)$ splits completely over \mathbb{F}_q equals

$$\frac{2^{\ell-k} - 1}{2^{2k} - 1} \quad \text{if } k' \text{ is odd,} \quad \frac{2^{\ell-k} - 2^k}{2^{2k} - 1} \quad \text{if } k' \text{ is even.}$$

Relation Generation

- Let $S_B = \{B \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \mid X^{2^k+1} + BX + B \text{ splits over } \mathbb{F}_q\}$

Relation Generation

- Let $S_B = \{B \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \mid X^{2^k+1} + BX + B \text{ splits over } \mathbb{F}_q\}$
- For any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ s.t. $b \neq a^{2^k}$ and $B \in S_B$, there exists a unique $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ s.t. $x^{2^k+1} + ax^{2^k} + bx + c$ splits over \mathbb{F}_q

Relation Generation

- Let $S_B = \{B \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \mid X^{2^k+1} + BX + B \text{ splits over } \mathbb{F}_q\}$
- For any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ s.t. $b \neq a^{2^k}$ and $B \in S_B$, there exists a unique $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ s.t. $x^{2^k+1} + ax^{2^k} + bx + c$ splits over \mathbb{F}_q
- For each such (a, b, c) , test if r.h.s. $yg_1(y) + ay + bg_1(y) + c$ splits; if so then have a relation

Relation Generation

- Let $S_B = \{B \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \mid X^{2^k+1} + BX + B \text{ splits over } \mathbb{F}_q\}$
- For any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ s.t. $b \neq a^{2^k}$ and $B \in S_B$, there exists a unique $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ s.t. $x^{2^k+1} + ax^{2^k} + bx + c$ splits over \mathbb{F}_q
- For each such (a, b, c) , test if r.h.s. $yg_1(y) + ay + bg_1(y) + c$ splits; if so then have a relation

Assume that g_1 can be found s.t. $X - g_1(X^{2^k}) \equiv 0 \pmod{f(X)}$ with $\deg(f) = n \leq 2^k d_1$. Then we have the following:

Relation Generation

- Let $S_B = \{B \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \mid X^{2^k+1} + BX + B \text{ splits over } \mathbb{F}_q\}$
- For any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ s.t. $b \neq a^{2^k}$ and $B \in S_B$, there exists a unique $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ s.t. $x^{2^k+1} + ax^{2^k} + bx + c$ splits over \mathbb{F}_q
- For each such (a, b, c) , test if r.h.s. $yg_1(y) + ay + bg_1(y) + c$ splits; if so then have a relation

Assume that g_1 can be found s.t. $X - g_1(X^{2^k}) \equiv 0 \pmod{f(X)}$ with $\deg(f) = n \leq 2^k d_1$. Then we have the following:

Heuristic Result 1

Let $q = 2^l$ with $l = kk'$ and $k' \geq 3$ and $d_1 \geq 1$ constants, and assume $n \approx 2^k d_1$. Assuming the r.h.s. splits over \mathbb{F}_q with probability $1/(d_1 + 1)!$, then the logarithms of all degree one elements of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} can be computed in time $\tilde{O}(\log^{2k'+1} q^n)$.

Polynomial Time Relation Generation - Examples

- Let $q = 2^{3k}$ and $n = 2^k - 1 \implies$ can use a Kummer extension
- Set $g_1(X) = \gamma X$, so that irreducible is $X^{2^k-1} + \gamma$
- r.h.s has degree 2 and splits with probability 1/2

Polynomial Time Relation Generation - Examples

- Let $q = 2^{3k}$ and $n = 2^k - 1 \implies$ can use a Kummer extension
- Set $g_1(X) = \gamma X$, so that irreducible is $X^{2^k-1} + \gamma$
- r.h.s has degree 2 and splits with probability 1/2

Table : Relation generation times for $q = 2^{3k}$ and $n = 2^k - 1$ on a 2.0GHz AMD Opteron 6128

k	$\log_2(q^n)$	#vars	time
7	2667	5506	2.3s
8	6120	21932	15.0s
9	13797	87554	122s
10	30690	349858	900s

Complexity Results

Suppose $q = \exp\left(\alpha \sqrt[3]{\log q^n \cdot \log^2 \log q^n}\right)$ (\dagger). We have:

Heuristic Result 2(i)

Let $q = 2^l$, let $k \mid l$ and let n be such that (\dagger) holds. Then for $n \approx 2^k d_1$ where $2^k \approx d_1$, the DLP can be solved with complexity $L_Q(1/3, (8/9)^{1/3}) \approx L_Q(1/3, 0.961)$.

Heuristic Result 2(ii)

Let $q = 2^l$, let $k \mid l$ and let n be such that (\dagger) holds. Then for $n \approx 2^k d_1$ where $2^k \gg d_1$, the DLP can be solved with complexity between $L_Q(1/3, (4/9)^{1/3}) \approx L_Q(1/3, 0.763)$ and $L_Q(1/3, (1/2)^{1/3}) \approx L_Q(1/3, 0.794)$.

Solving the DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1971}}$

Let $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{2^{27}} = \mathbb{F}_2[T]/(T^{27} + T^5 + T^2 + T + 1) = \mathbb{F}_2(t)$ and let $\mathbb{F}_{q^{73}} = \mathbb{F}_q[X]/(X^{73} + t) = \mathbb{F}_q(x)$ be the field of order 2^{1971} .

- We let $y = x^8$ and thus $x = t/y^9$ and took as generator $\alpha = x + 1$ and target

$$\beta_\pi = \sum_{i=0}^{72} \tau(\lfloor \pi q^{i+1} \rfloor \bmod q) x^i.$$

Solving the DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1971}}$

Let $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{2^{27}} = \mathbb{F}_2[T]/(T^{27} + T^5 + T^2 + T + 1) = \mathbb{F}_2(t)$ and let $\mathbb{F}_{q^{73}} = \mathbb{F}_q[X]/(X^{73} + t) = \mathbb{F}_q(x)$ be the field of order 2^{1971} .

- We let $y = x^8$ and thus $x = t/y^9$ and took as generator $\alpha = x + 1$ and target

$$\beta_\pi = \sum_{i=0}^{72} \tau(\lfloor \pi q^{i+1} \rfloor \bmod q) x^i.$$

The computation took:

- 14 core-hrs for relation generation: quotienting out by the action of the 9-th power of Frobenius on the factor base gives $612,872 \approx 2^{27}/(3 \cdot 73)$ variables
- After SGE, 2220 core-hrs for parallelised Lanczos on matrix of dimension $528,812 \times 527,766$
- 898 core-hrs for the descent \implies total of 3132 core-hrs.

Solving the DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1971}}$

On 19/2/13 we announced that $\log_{\alpha}(\beta_{\pi}) =$

11992984215354106866091146371988855845186852755447163352
36895900760902198795745784008181148775933944656038305197
82541742360236535889937362200771117361678269423101163403
13535552228080411390321527355590590108228224824002192878
78207304028565280573096588688279004416835100344085961912
42700060128986433752110002214380289887546061125224587971
19787275080584651962314043764573936293823541736161168108
25627780459657892709561158924173579400674739684346062992
68294291957378226451182620783745349502502960139927453196
48974006524479548958327920827882768332440907342446643941
0976702162039539513377673115483439 .

Solving the DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3164}}$

Let $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{2^{28}} = \mathbb{F}_2[T]/(T^{28} + T + 1) = \mathbb{F}_2(t)$ and let $\mathbb{F}_{q^{113}} = \mathbb{F}_q[X]/(X^{113} + t) = \mathbb{F}_q(x)$ be the field of order 2^{3164} .

- We let $y = x^{16}$ and thus $x = t/y^7$ and took as generator $\alpha = x + t + 1$ and target

$$\beta_\pi = \sum_{i=0}^{112} \tau(\lfloor \pi q^{i+1} \rfloor \bmod q) x^i .$$

Solving the DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3164}}$

Let $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{2^{28}} = \mathbb{F}_2[T]/(T^{28} + T + 1) = \mathbb{F}_2(t)$ and let $\mathbb{F}_{q^{113}} = \mathbb{F}_q[X]/(X^{113} + t) = \mathbb{F}_q(x)$ be the field of order 2^{3164} .

- We let $y = x^{16}$ and thus $x = t/y^7$ and took as generator $\alpha = x + t + 1$ and target

$$\beta_\pi = \sum_{i=0}^{112} \tau(\lfloor \pi q^{i+1} \rfloor \bmod q) x^i .$$

The computation took:

- 2 core-hrs for relation generation: quotienting out by the action of the 14-th power of Frobenius on the factor base gives $1,187,841 \approx 2^{28}/(2 \cdot 113)$ variables
- After SGE, 85,488 core-hrs for parallelised Lanczos on matrix of dimension $1,066,010 \times 1,064,991$
- 21,602 core-hrs for the descent \implies total of 107,092 core-hrs

Solving the DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3164}}$

On 3/5/13 we found that $\log_{\alpha}(\beta_{\pi}) =$

2410958672084703779901202077261642209070514313288787533385808717024
8784565712688312063491036765323357553857177477977665457317849564770
1688094481773173140524389502529386852264636049383546885561763318178
6341747893370309598402582718996263618673697554067799885512742832012
3901294838991530024173934004391610582283400289720429303619769406533
7903255793451858773664350130030722091666253172541070447948299781221
0193428607010640365444303319677531146468063350633002030742348610674
7166841199820454431917683235380198222192499580429542616711230697079
5960798988644631100037393291558580412406942004555116148790387654960
4900084297695444007900819088072394071341577241660482464194055035573
9803589799985259319695403143962976877685099988772087056174191305553
1864041654707840433795403753200520891617150254756586728215941551355
0648407797656823989931563900000242491107399569193500692930336704230
7029958155763666499372120453686303873671488016409635578117870889230
278649164378133 .

Big Field Hunting

- 11th Feb'13, Joux: $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1778}}$ in 220 core-hrs
- 19th Feb'13, GGMZ: $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1971}}$ in 3,132 core-hrs
- 3rd May'13, GGMZ: $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3164}}$ in 107,000 core-hrs
- 22nd Mar'13, Joux: $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4080}}$ in 14,100 core-hrs
- 11th Apr'13, GGMZ: $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}$ in 750 core-hrs
- 21st May'13, Joux: $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6168}}$ in 550 core-hrs

Solution to DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}$

On 11/4/13 we announced that $\beta_\pi = g^{\log}$, with $\log =$

138587598363978692625475711283123171009236361503896992366495931704517700280127178022234894098617
581360131441835074256363730624426814293233474272521598166126957928116825443110965404253837938808
595404111035238027107772178822939281873403451999731815140073481766513715358449279314556797352446
246860317946750124475689474406274942356035936501674050933448909201029834522226732247771897083223
217282051573645013603613042367782716361877817938374393824313019073624786387618414037541681120284
044659383192907436852526392087724304775451631271825250968111451400502733404381769675255289127346
639350098221570844400380788516332496583882522436381918008200167032186350245107751346979596314696
153666716168951481948091060066730184766758137773944303875429830867205463918144256843911730747265
146154193438041627833661739775057161236346096236566875251277843062329973044475486561062204356908
568471471279383781038538818884463796989906076079843248127252020839705886436071213650575186707456
948584072378916942925369140868417196479573481032711481021729162865973588174096389913305607677858
033996361734905537150362024720515772660781208855505434331055766570014211875602940633575763850457
503079087074376585304470520411320246292255375711457573555286060236699317039454479326718281128961
423275142787569425690532833283344049635521302596000897192512036695298807294032964530959691377087
204546348960132760095544105980198255245493202412831593891984788152417957691939817112366182063687
529915365150361180214451234387656883256149355994405051149585969163075307026647956035683671589546
448539955132726112034938655961291856203422247680387029078473520951160334472525475071680672623661
587292720329606182512044312194357156139201340952037872975243254476081554937002122953415949407262
137232099852298394838422907643191397673290238344183046040975859915928536530445697145317668044973
7096483324156185041

The Algorithm of Barbulescu, Gaudry, Joux and Thomé

For small characteristic fields of bitlength l , the BGJT algorithm has quasi-polynomial complexity $l^{O(\log l)}$.

- Applies to fields of the form $\mathbb{F}_{q^{kn}}$, with $k \geq 2$ and $n \approx q$
- Complexity dictated by #nodes in the descent tree

The Algorithm of Barbulescu, Gaudry, Joux and Thomé

For small characteristic fields of bitlength l , the BGJT algorithm has quasi-polynomial complexity $l^{O(\log l)}$.

- Applies to fields of the form $\mathbb{F}_{q^{kn}}$, with $k \geq 2$ and $n \approx q$
- Complexity dictated by $\#$ nodes in the descent tree

Question: Are there any elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{kn}}$ that require a quasi-polynomial number of linear elements to represent them?

The Algorithm of Barbulescu, Gaudry, Joux and Thomé

For small characteristic fields of bitlength l , the BGJT algorithm has quasi-polynomial complexity $l^{O(\log l)}$.

- Applies to fields of the form $\mathbb{F}_{q^{kn}}$, with $k \geq 2$ and $n \approx q$
- Complexity dictated by #nodes in the descent tree

Question: Are there any elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{kn}}$ that require a quasi-polynomial number of linear elements to represent them?

Answer: No! F.R.K. Chung has proven that if $\mathbb{F}_{q^{kn}} = \mathbb{F}_{q^k}(x)$, then each $h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{kn}}^\times$ can be represented by

$$h = (x + a_1) \cdots (x + a_m), \quad \text{with } a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k},$$

if $\sqrt{q^k} > n - 1$ and $m \geq 2n + 4n \log n / (\log q^k - 2 \log(n - 1))$.

Thanks for your attention!